Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

REMARKS

The present amendment is responsive to the Official Action

dated April 9, 2004. All rejections of the Examiner are

respectfully traversed. Reconsideration of the application in

view of the amendments and following remarks is respectfully

requested.

Following entry of the present amendment claims 4, 7 - 24,

and 26 - 33 remain pending.

Claim 26 is independent. Claim 26 has been amended to

incorporate the limitation of claim 3.

Dependent claim 4 has been amended.

Dependent claims 32 and 33 have been added.

Claims 3, 5 and 6 have been cancelled.

The undersigned desires to make of record a telephone

discussion with the Examiner on or about May 18, 2004 during which

the pending claims and the cited art was discussed. During the

telephone discussion, the Examiner brought to the attention of the

Applicant U.S. Patents 5,375,165, 5,581,593 and 5,815,153. No

Agreement was reached.

Additionally, the undersigned desires to make of record a

telephone discussion with the Examiner on July 15, 2004 during

which the Applicant proposed amendments to the claims.

-11-

Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

Examiner suggested that the proposed amendments be filed for

consideration. No Agreement was reached.

The pending claims were rejected as anticipated by U.S.

Patent 4,503,288 to Kessler. The Applicant respectfully submits

that Kessler does not disclose or suggest the subject matter

More specifically, Kessler does not map recited in claim 26.

individual alphanumeric characters to specific dial tones. As

described at col. 19, 11. 36-55, Kessler maps 1 to 6 character

names to entire phone numbers. Thus, Kessler, does not disclose

or suggest the mapping individual letters and numbers to specific

dial tones as disclosed and claimed by the Applicant.

During the above referenced telephone interview in May 2004,

the Examiner brought the Isensee et al. ("Isensee") patent to the

attention of the Applicant and more specifically, col. 3, 11. 37-

44 of the Isensee et al. patent. The Applicant respectfully

submits that claim 26 as amended, patentably distinguishes over

Isensee.

More specifically, present claim 26 calls for:

said control circuitry being operative to detect an off

condition and a call connection involving a telephone device coupled to said telephone line and to automatically enter the second operating mode when said telephone device connected to said telephone line is in

said off hook condition and no connection to the

telephone line has been established, and the control circuitry being operative to automatically enter the

-12-

Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

first operating mode when the telephone device is in an off-hook condition and a connection to said telephone

line has been established.

Isensee provides no disclosure or suggestion of a system that

maps alphanumeric characters to data characters in a first

operating mode, that maps alphanumeric characters into dialing

signals in a second operating mode and that automatically switches

between the two modes as claimed. More specifically, Insensee

provides no disclosure or suggestion of the automatic entry of the

second operating mode in response to the detection of an off hook

condition for an associated telephone at a time when the

associated telephone has not completed a call connection and

Insensee provides no disclosure or suggestion of the automatic

entry of the first operating mode when the control circuitry

detects an off hook condition for the associated telephone and a

call connection for such telephone.

In the official action dated April 9, 2004, the Examiner

cited col. 2, 11. 49-55 and col. 10, 11. 18-35 of Kessler as

teaching automatic entry of the respective operating

(Official Action, p.3).

The Applicant respectfully submits that the first cited

passage of Kessler provides no teaching or suggestion

automatically switching between a first operating mode in which

-13-

Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

the control circuitry outputs data characters onto the telephone

line and a second operating mode in which the control circuitry

outputs dialing signals onto the telephone line. As stated in

Kessler:

Fig. 1 shows the keyboard terminal 10 of the present invention. A keyboard terminal 10 includes

a hand set phone unit 12 which is coupled to a

keyboard terminal 10 by a flexible cord 14. hand set phone unit 12 permits use of the keyboard

terminal 10 in what may be referred to as the

typical "Ma-Bell" telephone operating mode.

(Col. 2, 11. 49-55). No mention of automatic switching between

operating modes is provided, let alone upon the conditions set

forth in present claim 26.

The second cited passage of Kessler also fails to disclose or

suggest automatic switching between a first mode in which the

keyboard outputs a data character onto the telephone line in

response to each keypress and a second mode in which the keyboard

outputs a dialing signal onto the telephone line in response to

each keypress.

It is noted that at col. 10, Kessler describes that a name

consisting of 1-6 characters can be entered and stored

association with a complete telephone number. Instead of dialing

individual numbers, the name can be typed in and when the user

pressed "s" for send, the stored telephone number corresponding to

-14-

Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

that name is transmitted. This operation does not correspond to

that disclosed and claimed by applicant. In Applicant's claimed

invention, each keypress in the second operating mode results in

the transission of a single dialing signal that corresponds to the

dialing signal to which it is mapped. In Kessler, in response to

the pressing of the "S" key, an entire telephone number is

transmitted. Moreover, Kessler provides no teaching or suggestion

of the automatic switching in response to the detection of the

off-hook and call connection signals as claimed. Thus, the

switching of modes in Kessler occurs in response to the manual

intervention of the user in pressing the "S" key and not

automatically in response to the detection of off-hook and call

connection states. Consequently, neither Kessler or Isensee

disclose or suggest automatic switching between the first and

second operating states as claimed.

In view of the above, the applicant respectfully maintains

that present claim 26 is allowable over Isensee, Kessler and the

other art of record, alone or in combination. For as least the

reasons discussed with respect to claim 26, the applicant also

submits that all dependent claims are allowable over the art of

record.

-15-

Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

Additionally, claim 33 depends upon claim 26 and calls for

each dialing signal that is generated in response to

activation of each of the first and second plurality of keys to

comprise a unique dialing signal. Unlike conventional dialing

apparatus that uses a standard DTMF tone set the presently claimed

subject matter relates to an expanded set of dialing signals.

is noted that in standard telephone usage, a single key on the

keypad produces the same dialing signal for the number "2" key as

well as the letters, "A", "B" and "C". As set forth in claim 32

each alphanumeric character produces a unique dialing signal.

This expanded dialing signal set is neither disclosed nor

suggested in the art of record.

Additionally, claim 33 depends from claim 32 and specifies

that each dialing signal comprises a tone having multiple

frequencies. Once again, the art of record does not disclose or

suggest an expanded set of dialing signals in which each

alphanumeric character produces a unique mult-frequency tone.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant believes that all

present claims are in condition for allowance and the allowance of

all claims is respectfully requested.

-16-

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEL. (617) 542-2290 FAX. (617) 451-0313

Filed: October 24, 2000

TC Art Unit: 2643

Confirmation No.: 1367

The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned attorney to discuss any matter that would expedite allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

AVI NELSON

Victor B. Lebovici

Registration No. 30,864 Attorney for Applicant

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP

Ten Post Office Square
Boston MA 02109

Boston, MA 02109

Telephone: (617) 542-2290 Telecopier: (617) 451-0313

308644